

©The City of Stockholm

## Biochar-Urban Forestry Strategy FOR THE CITY OF STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN

May 2022

Prepared by: Jacqueline Hellmann, Mattias Gustafsson, Lotta Ek EcoTopic AB

With support from: Carbon Neutral Cities Alliance Nature-Based Climate Solutions





NATURE-BASED CLIMATE SOLUTIONS

# **Table of Contents**

| 1 Feedstock availability   | 3    |
|----------------------------|------|
| 1.1 City of Stockholm      | 4    |
| 1.2 Sweden                 | 5    |
| 2 Use potential            | 5    |
| 2.1 Different biochar      | 9    |
| 2.2 Methods of biochar use | . 10 |
| References                 | .12  |

## 1 Feedstock availability

Biomass with a high content of lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose is suitable feedstock for biochar production as it generates a biochar with an anticipated set of qualities and characteristics. Char from biosolids tends to be less stable as a carbon sink and has considerably differentiating properties (McIntosh & Hunt, n d). European Biochar Certificate (EBC) has a list of biomass feedstock approved for use in producing biochar (EBC, n d). Feedstock should be untreated (in terms of e.g. paint or impregnation – nails can be separated) and classified as a waste or as a residual material (EBC, 2012).

Biosolids are currently not included in EBC's positive list, however sludge and food waste have been included in Table 1 and 2 below, showing the potential urban feedstock availability, since trials with pyrolyzed sludge as well as food waste is being conducted and the product is sometimes referred to as biochar in the literature.

New fertilizer regulations enter into force in June 2022 within EU, where biochar is one component in the concept "pyrolysis and gasification material" (EUR-Lex, 2019).

This report is based on the current state of knowledge as well as on the City of Stockholm's needs and conditions for biochar production and use, rather than for the Stockholm greater area.

## **1.1 City of Stockholm**

Table 1 describes and estimates the potential urban feedstock being produced and available in the City of Stockholm, suitable for biochar production.

### Table 1. Describes the amount and origin of feedstock within the city of Stockholm that is suitable for biochar production.

| Feedstock                                      | Amount (tonnes/year)                                        | Origin                                                                                                                  | Comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Biochar<br>potential<br>(tonnes/year) |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Garden waste, woody<br>biomass                 | 5 550 (Dahllöf, 2021)                                       | Households                                                                                                              | (Incl. Christmas trees.) Is being crushed today. Approx. 50% of<br>total amount is suitable for biochar production. Moisture<br>content approx. 40%                                                                                                          | 420                                   |
| Urban tree residues,<br>woody biomass          | 3 800 (Dahllöf, 2021)                                       | Municipality; urban tree<br>maintenance                                                                                 | (Street trees.) Is being chipped today: corresponds to a poor fraction of forest residues, why approx. 70% of total amount is suitable for biochar production. Moisture content approx. 40%                                                                  | 290                                   |
| Park waste                                     | 400-700 (Dahllöf, 2021)                                     | Biomass collected from park<br>and other municipal green<br>area maintenance                                            | Mixed materials. Approx. 50% of total amount is suitable for biochar production. Moisture content approx. 40%                                                                                                                                                | 30-50                                 |
| Wood pallets and<br>wood packaging<br>material | N.A.                                                        | Household, municipal<br>activities, commercial waste                                                                    | Handling of material is responsibility of producer (Lyckeborg, 2022)                                                                                                                                                                                         | N.A.                                  |
| Demolition wood<br>waste                       | 19 887 (Community<br>recycling center)<br>(Lyckeborg, 2022) | Households and businesses                                                                                               | Heterogeneous & unclean material. Chipped for energy recovery<br>today. Additional significant amounts are being handled by<br>commercial disposal. Approx. 80% of total amounts is suitable<br>for biochar production. Moisture content approx. 20%         | 3200                                  |
| Solid digestate, from<br>biosolids             | 77 600 (Dahllöf, 2021)                                      | Henriksdals and Bromma<br>treatment plants. Produced<br>from anaerobic digestion of<br>waste (such as sewage<br>sludge) | 21 728 dry matter t/y. 56 700 tonnes go to arable land, the rest is<br>used for soil improvement, final disposal of landfill and<br>incineration attempts. Approx. 100% of total amounts is<br>suitable for biochar production. Moisture content approx. 72% | 5500                                  |
| Food waste                                     | 100 000 (Dahllöf, 2021)                                     | Majority from households                                                                                                | 25 000 tonnes are sorted out of household waste, the rest goes to<br>waste incineration. Approx. 100% of total amounts is suitable<br>for biochar production. Moisture content approx. 70%                                                                   | 7600                                  |

## 1.2 Sweden

Table 2 describes and estimates the potential urban feedstock being produced and available in Sweden for biochar production.

| Feedstock                                       | Amount<br>(tonnes/year)           | Comment                                                                                               | Biochar potential<br>(tonnes/year) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Garden waste, woody<br>biomass                  | 439 000 (Avfall<br>Sverige, 2022) | Moisture content approx. 40%.<br>Approx. 50% of total amounts is<br>suitable for biochar production.  | 33 000                             |
| Urban tree residues,<br>woody biomass           | N.A.                              | Moisture content approx. 40%.<br>Approx. 50% of total amounts is<br>suitable for biochar production.  | N.A.                               |
| Park waste                                      | N.A.                              | Moisture content approx. 40%.<br>Approx. 50% of total amounts is<br>suitable for biochar production.  | N.A.                               |
| Wood pallets and wood packaging material        | N.A.                              | N.A.                                                                                                  | N.A.                               |
| Demolition wood waste<br>(not impregnated wood) | 538 000 (Avfall<br>Sverige, 2022) | Moisture content approx. 20%.<br>Approx. 80% of total amounts is<br>suitable for biochar production.  | 87 000                             |
| Solid digestate, from<br>biosolids              | 277 778 (Avfall<br>Sverige, 2022) | Moisture content approx. 72%.<br>Approx. 100% of total amounts is<br>suitable for biochar production. | 50 000                             |
| Food waste                                      | 425 551 (Avfall<br>Sverige, 2022) | Moisture content approx. 70%.<br>Approx. 100% of total amounts is<br>suitable for biochar production. | 32 000                             |

| Table 2. Describes the amount and origin | of feedstock in Sweden with potential |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| for biochar production.                  |                                       |

## 2 Use potential

Biochar has a wide range of potential urban use application areas. This section demonstrates that by referring to previous and ongoing pilots and trials.

Table 3 and 4 lists application areas for biochar in urban public green areas; the recommended or tested amount of biochar for each application; the extent of each application area; as well as the accompanying projected benefits and the biochar use potential in cubic meters as well as tonnes (yearly in Table 3 and total amount in table 4) for each application.

Table 3. Lists application areas for biochar in the City of Stockholm as well as appropriate amounts of biochar, extent of each application area, projected benefits and <u>yearly</u> biochar use potential for each application.

| Application area                                                                   | Amount                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Benefits*                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Biochar potential<br>(yearly)                                                                |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tree plantings in<br>structural soil,<br>Stockholm model<br>(Stockholm stad, 2017) | Per application: 7-20 vol% biochar is sought. For<br>practical reasons 7.5 vol% or 12.5 vol% is often used<br>(Fransson, Gustafsson, Malmberg, & Paulsson, 2020)<br>15 m <sup>3</sup> soil/tree ≈ 2 m <sup>3</sup> biochar/tree (Alvem, 2022)<br>Yearly implementation: approx. 700 trees/year<br>(Alvem, 2022) | Direct: increased tree growth & higher drought<br>resistance. Stormwater management. Pollutant<br>immobilisation and less nutrient leaching.<br>Indirect: increase in recreational values,<br>biodiversity support, ecosystem services &<br>carbon sequestration. Reduced urban heat<br>island effect. Reduced risk of flooding by<br>enabling local water storage/handling. Pollutant<br>degradation, better water quality. | 700 trees * 2 m <sup>3</sup> =<br>1 <b>400 m<sup>3</sup> biochar</b><br>(approx. 280 tonnes) |
| Urban plant beds,<br>perennials                                                    | <b>Per application</b> : 12.5 vol% biochar (Fransson,<br>Gustafsson, Malmberg, & Paulsson, 2020)<br><b>Yearly implementation</b> : 2-5 ha with 40 cm depth<br>(Alvem, 2022)                                                                                                                                     | Direct: less nutrient leaching, less dependence<br>on mineral fertilizers, higher drought resistance<br>& better plant growth.<br>Indirect: increased biodiversity support &<br>recreational values. Less maintenance.<br>Improved water quality in surrounding water<br>bodies.                                                                                                                                             | 12.5 vol% * 2-5 ha*40<br>cm =<br>1 000-2 500 m <sup>3</sup><br>biochar (200-500<br>tonnes)   |
| Green areas (parks,<br>football pitches, golf<br>courses, etc.)                    | <ul> <li>Per application: 5 vol% biochar in the 10 top cm of soil (Alvem, 2022)</li> <li>Yearly implementation: 5 ha of park are rebuilt/year (Alvem, 2022)</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                          | Direct: stronger root systems on grass increasing<br>durability and grass quality.<br>Indirect: prolongs the season played on natural<br>grass. Reduces microplastic pollutants if<br>replacing plastic fields. Increased aesthetic<br>values.                                                                                                                                                                               | 5 vol% * top 10 cm * 5<br>ha = <b>250 m³ biochar</b><br>(approx. 50 tonnes)                  |

| Application area                           | Amount                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Benefits*                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Biochar potential<br>(yearly)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Peat substituent (in<br>e.g. potting soil) | <ul> <li>Per application: 10-33 vol% biochar has been shown to be able to substitute peat with sustained growth in salad (Fransson, Gustafsson, Malmberg, &amp; Paulsson, 2020)</li> <li>Yearly implementation: approx. 700 "city pots"; 750-1 000 m<sup>3</sup> peat-based soil (Alvem, 2022)</li> </ul>                               | <b>Direct</b> : reduced need for peat and liming. The<br>biochar can compensate for the emissions<br>following peat use.<br><b>Indirect</b> : reduced GHG-emissions from reduced<br>use of peat.                                                                                                                                             | 10-33 vol% * 750 –<br>1 000 m <sup>3</sup> = <b>75-330 m<sup>3</sup></b><br>biochar (approx. 15-66<br>tonnes)                                                                                                                                                     |
| Concrete                                   | Per application: 15 M% of cement can be substituted<br>with biochar (Bier, 2021) ≈ 2.25 M% biochar in<br>concrete (with a compressive strength suitable for<br>tree pit foundations) (Cementa, n d) Yearly implementation: 300 tree pit foundations and<br>40 000 m <sup>2</sup> of concrete floor slabs (for streets) (Alvem,<br>2022) | Direct: Improved: water absorption, strength and<br>toughness, flexural strength (nano/micro<br>particle size), hydration and accelerates in early<br>strength, and improved impermeability.<br>Reduced use of cement. Can make concrete<br>lighter and/or stronger.                                                                         | Approx. 12 kg<br>biochar/tree pit<br>foundation * 300 pcs =<br><b>3.6 tonnes</b> . + 2.25 M%<br>biochar * 180 kg/m <sup>2</sup><br>concrete floor slabs<br>(S:T Eriks, n d) * 40 000<br>m <sup>2</sup> = <b>825 m<sup>3</sup> biochar</b><br>(approx. 165 tonnes) |
| Animal feed                                | <b>No estimate</b> of use potential has been made as there<br>is currently no local market for this type of use. Note<br>that there are requirements for the quality of the<br>constituent materials as the biochar should meet the<br>higher requirements EBC places on biochar for<br>animal feed.                                    | <b>Direct:</b> potential to improve animal health, feed<br>efficiency and livestock housing climate, to<br>reduce nutrient losses and greenhouse gas<br>emissions (Schmidt, Hagemann, Draper, &<br>Kammann, 2019).<br><b>Indirect:</b> potential to reduce antibiotic use.<br>Biochar-manure is produced – a valuable<br>organic fertilizer. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

\*Not all benefits can be guaranteed but have been seen or reported to varying degrees in pilots and trials.

Table 4. Lists application areas for biochar in the City of Stockholm as well as appropriate amounts of biochar, extent of each application area, projected benefits and <u>total</u> biochar use potential for each application.

| Application area       | Amount                                                             | Benefits*                                                                                         | Biochar potential<br>(total)        |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Green roofs            | Per application: 30 vol% biochar potential in green                | Direct: less nutrient leaching, less dependence                                                   | 30 vol% * 100 mm * (1-              |
|                        | roof substrate (Cao, Farrell, Kristiansen, & Rayner,               | on mineral fertilizers, higher drought resistance                                                 | $5 \text{ m}^2 * 1 \text{ million}$ |
|                        | 2014). ≥80-100 mm substrate thickness is                           | & better plant growth.                                                                            | citizens) = <b>30-150 000</b>       |
|                        | recommended (Catalano, Armano Laudicina,                           | Indirect: increase in recreational values,                                                        | m³ biochar (approx. 6-              |
|                        | Badalucco, & Guarino, 2018)                                        | biodiversity support & ecosystem services. Less<br>maintenance. Reduced urban heat island effect. | 30 000 tonnes)                      |
|                        | Green roof area potential: 1-5 m <sup>2</sup> per capita (over the | Natural insulation of building (temp. & noise).                                                   |                                     |
|                        | past 5 years, Stockholm has expanded its green roof                |                                                                                                   |                                     |
|                        | area, and is approaching other major European                      |                                                                                                   |                                     |
|                        | cities) (Grant & Gedge, 2019) (Malmberg, 2022)                     |                                                                                                   |                                     |
| Tree plantings/urban   | Per application: 5 vol% biochar * top 10 cm -                      | Direct: increased tree growth & higher drought                                                    | 1 000 trees * 40 L                  |
| forests, in vegetation | alternatively biochar air-lance injection approx. 40               | resistance.                                                                                       | biochar * 10 years =                |
| area                   | L/tree (Fransson, Gustafsson, Malmberg, & Paulsson,                | Indirect: increase in recreational values,                                                        | = 400 m <sup>3</sup> biochar        |
|                        | 2020)                                                              | biodiversity support, ecosystem services &                                                        | (approx. 80 tonnes)                 |
|                        |                                                                    | carbon sequestration. Reduced urban heat                                                          |                                     |
|                        | Urban forest within city limits: 1 000 000 trees in                | island effect.                                                                                    |                                     |
|                        | total. 10% of which soil improvement can be done,                  |                                                                                                   |                                     |
|                        | i.e. 10 000 trees totally (1 000 trees/year) (Alvem, 2022)         |                                                                                                   |                                     |

\*Not all benefits can be guaranteed but have been seen or reported to varying degrees in pilots and trials.

## 2.1 Different biochar

The EBC lists limit values for, for example, PAHs and heavy metals in biochar based on the intended area of application (animal feed, agricultural land, urban use, materials, etc.) (EBC, n d). It is recommended to follow these guidelines.

Depending on how and from what the biochar has been produced it will attain different characteristics. This may make the biochar more suitable for some applications rather than others. Kind of feedstock and temperature are the main parameters causing the biochar to have different characteristics (Tomczyk, Sokolowska, & Boguta, 2020). See Table 5 for information on some of the main characteristics of biochar.



© www.biocharproject.org

Table 5. Describes some of the main different characteristics depending on temperature and how it affects the suitability of the use of the biochar.

| Characteristic                                                | Causing parameter                                                                             | Suitable use                                                                                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High CEC (cation<br>exchange capacity ≈<br>nutrient adsorbing | Lower prod. temp. tends to<br>generate higher CEC, as well as<br>feedstock such as manure and | Can contribute to reduced nutrient<br>leaching which makes the biochar<br>suitable in most plant beds, especially |
| capacity)                                                     | biosolids (Tomczyk, Sokolowska, &                                                             | in green roofs and rain gardens.                                                                                  |
|                                                               | Boguta, 2020).                                                                                | Sandy soils tend to have poor nutrient                                                                            |
|                                                               |                                                                                               | holding capacity.                                                                                                 |
| High ash content -                                            | High prod. temp., some feedstock                                                              | Biochar with high ash fraction and                                                                                |
| often correlates to                                           | (such as bark and grain husk) as                                                              | liming effect should be carefully used                                                                            |
| high pH                                                       | well as other materials (soil or                                                              | in urban meadows where it could                                                                                   |
|                                                               | inorganic materials) generates                                                                | disfavor meadow plants. Suitable for                                                                              |
|                                                               | more ash (Fransson, Gustafsson,                                                               | soil that needs minerals and/or                                                                                   |
|                                                               | Malmberg, & Paulsson, 2020)                                                                   | liming. In Stockholm´s structure soils                                                                            |

| Characteristic                       | Causing parameter                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Suitable use                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                      | (Tomczyk, Sokolowska, & Boguta,<br>2020).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | too high ash content is not<br>recommended since the stormwater<br>might flush the ash nutrients to the<br>recipient. The city's limits for biochar:<br>7.5% & park and garden waste-<br>biochar: 20%.                                  |
| High WHC (water<br>holding capacity) | High prod. temp. enhances<br>porosity which causes higher<br>WHC, but it also causes higher<br>aromaticity which can have<br>hydrophobic effects (Batista, o.a.,<br>2018). Smaller particle size can<br>increase water retention<br>(Tomczyk, Sokolowska, & Boguta,<br>2020). | Biochar can often enhance a<br>substrates WHC, especially in sandy<br>soils. This is a suitable characteristic<br>in draft exposed and thin plant beds.<br>In heavy and/or compacted soils it<br>can reversely enhance the air content. |
| Fraction size                        | The size of ingoing feedstock,<br>mechanic handling of the biochar<br>and abiotic factors (e.g. ground<br>frost).                                                                                                                                                             | For urban use, fraction size of biochar<br>is usually 0-10 mm, sometimes 0-20<br>mm. (Large fraction size can make<br>soil/substrate looser.)                                                                                           |

## 2.2 Methods of biochar use

This section presents several recipes with biochar. Note that percent refers to percent by volume.



© The City of Stockholm

#### • Structural soil, Stockholm model:

- Plant beds and perennials: 75 vol% macadam (4-8), 12.5 vol% biochar and 12.5 vol% compost (Fransson, Gustafsson, Malmberg, & Paulsson, 2020)
- Trees: 85 vol% macadam (32-90), 7.5 vol% biochar and 7.5 vol% compost (Stockholm stad, 2017)

### • Bluegreengrey (BGG) systems:

BGG systems are developed for urban environments where blue, green and grey functions interacts with generously with space underground for street vegetation. Under the ground surface, BGG systems are built up of BGG rain garden substrate and BGG open reinforcement layer (Edge, 2020):

- o BGG rain garden substrate: macadam, biochar (17 vol%) rock dust and green compost
- o BGG open reinforcement layer: macadam 32-63 or 32-90 and biochar (15 vol%)

#### • Mixture into purchased soil substrates:

5-10 vol% biochar can be added to purchased soils to improve the soils water and nutrient holding capacity. Consider not charging the biochar with nutrients to avoid nutrient leakage.

#### • Green roof substrate:

12.5 vol% biochar, 12.5 vol% green compost, 12.5 vol% gravel/sand 0-8, 62.5 vol% crushed tile 0-15 (Malmberg, 2022)

#### • Contaminated soil:

The amount of biochar can potentially be significantly increased when applied in contaminated soil. Depending on the kind of contaminant, the properties of soil and plant species, the kind of biochar and amount (single or multiple dose application) would be adapted (Joseph, 2021).

#### • Soil improvement, existing soils:

5 vol% biochar can be added to existing soils and green areas in the top 40 cm of soil (Alvem, 2022). Consider charging the biochar with nutrients to avoid nitrogen immobilization or not charging the biochar to avoid nutrient leakage – depending on the wanted effect and surrounding environment.

## References

- Alvem, B.-M. (den 21 01 2022). Trädspecialist & landskapsarkitekt. (J. Hellmann, Intervjuare)
- Avfall Sverige. (den 08 02 2022). The municipalities' industry organization in waste management. (M. Gustafsson, Intervjuare)
- Batista, E. M., Shultz, J., Matos, T. T., Fornari, M. R., Ferreira, T. M., Szpoganicz, B., . . . Mangrich, A. S. (2018). Effect on surface and porosity of biochar on water holding capacity aiming indirectly at preservation of the Amazon biome. Scientific Reports. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28794-z
- Bier, H. (2021). *Climate Concrete*. Hämtat från EBI: https://www.biochar-industry.com/2021/how-do-we-solve-the-challenges-of-our-time/
- Cao, C. T., Farrell, C., Kristiansen, P. E., & Rayner, J. P. (2014). *Biochar makes green roof substrates lighter and improves water supply to plants*. Ecological Enineering. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.06.017
- Catalano, C., Armano Laudicina, V., Badalucco, L., & Guarino, R. (2018). *Some European green roof norms and guidelines through the lens of biodiversity: Do ecoregions and plant traits also matter*? Ecological Engineering. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.01.006
- Cementa. (n d). *Så blandar du din betong*. Hämtat från https://www.cementa.se/sv/betongarbeten den 11 02 2022
- Dahllöf, J. (2021). CNCA Survey input. Urban Bioenergy & Biochar Opportunity Assessment. Hämtat den 28 01 2022
- EBC. (2012). European Biochar Certificate Guidelines for a Sustainable Production of Biochar. European Biochar Foundation (EBC). Hämtat från https://www.europeanbiochar.org/media/doc/2/version\_en\_9\_3.pdf den 17 09 2021
- EBC. (n d). *EBC GUIDELINES & DOCUMENTS FOR THE CERTIFICATION*. Hämtat från https://www.european-biochar.org/en/ct/2-EBC-guidelines-documents-for-the-certification den 27 12 2021
- Edge. (2020). Livable Streets A Handbook of Bluegreengrey Systems. Hämtat från https://bluegreengrey.edges.se/ den 17 01 2022
- EUR-Lex. (2019). EUROPAPARLAMENTETS OCH RÅDETS FÖRORDNING (EU) 2019. Hämtat från https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/SV/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02019R1009-20220716&from=EN#tocId70 den 08 02 2022
- Fransson, A.-M., Gustafsson, M., Malmberg, J., & Paulsson, M. (2020). *Biokolhandboken för användare.* Hämtat från https://biokol.org/wp-content/uploads/biokolshandbok.pdf den 12 01 2022
- Grant, G., & Gedge, D. (2019). *Living Roofs and Walls, from policy to practice.* The European Federation of Green Roof and Green Wall Associations (EFB) and Livingroofs.org. Hämtat från

https://livingroofs.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/LONDON-LIVING-ROOFS-WALLS-REPORT-2019.pdf den 11 02 2022

- Joseph, S. C. (2021). *How biochar works, and when it doesn't: A review of mechanisms controlling soil and plant responses to biochar.* GCB Bioenergy. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12885
- Lyckeborg, J. S. (den 14 01 2022). Senior Project Management SVOA. (M. Gustafsson, Intervjuare) Hämtat från https://www.stockholmvattenochavfall.se/
- Malmberg, J. (den 27 01 2022). Specialist urban vegetation systems. (J. Hellmann, Intervjuare)
- McIntosh, C., & Hunt, J. (n d). *Biochar Stability & Carbon Sequestration*. Hämtat från Pacific Biochar: https://pacificbiochar.com/biochar-stability-and-carbon-sequestration/ den 13 01 2022
- S:T Eriks. (n d). *Produktinformation*. Hämtat från https://steriks.se/produktsortiment/markbelaggning/plattor/cirkus/ den 03 03 2022
- Schmidt, H.-P., Hagemann, N., Draper, K., & Kammann, C. (2019). *The use of biochar in animal feeding.* doi:10.7717/peerj.7373
- Stockholm stad. (2017). Växtbäddar i Stockholm stad en handbok. Hämtat från https://leverantor.stockholm/entreprenad-i-stockholms-offentligamiljoer/vaxtbaddshandboken/
- Tomczyk, A., Sokolowska, Z., & Boguta, P. (2020). *Biochar physiochemical properties: pyrolysis temperature and feedstock kind effects.* Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-020-09523-3